

Application No. 10/019,606

Amendment Dated July 7, 2005

Reply to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated April 26, 2005.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

A Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was received in the present application for not mentioning claims 1-12. Claims 1-12 were canceled by a previous Preliminary Amendment. A notice entitled Failure to Acceptably Respond to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was then sent to the applicant stating that claim 13 was canceled and amended. Claim 13 was inadvertently listed as being canceled. The present response corrects this error. The present response is a resubmission of the "AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS" section of the amendment. Claims 1-12 are now properly listed as "canceled."

According to the present Office Action, the time period for response continues to run from the mailing date of the prior Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. This Notice was mailed on April 26, 2005 with a one-month period for response (up to May 26, 2005). Accompanying the present response is a Petition for a Two-Month Extension of Time and accompanying fee, bringing the due date for the present response to July 26, 2005. The fee of \$450 is also provided.

It is believed that this response now puts the claims in conformance with Office requirements. It is respectfully requested that the Amendment dated April 14, 2005 be considered.

Application No. 10/019,606

Amendment Dated July 7, 2005

Reply to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated April 26, 2005.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.


Respectfully submitted,

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.

July 7, 2005

Please charge or credit our Account
No. 03-0075 as necessary to effect
entry and/or ensure consideration of
this submission.

By:



Gary A. Greene
Registration No. 38,897
Customer No. 03000
(215) 567-2010
Attorneys for Applicant

Enclosure: Two Month Extension of Time

Page 9 of 9